

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/924,044	08/07/2001	Amy Rene Freshour	RD-24899USA 1461		
6147	7590 03/12/2002				
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY			EXAMINER		
P O BOX 8	Γ DOCKET ROOM 4A59	HRUSKOCI, PETER A			
	1 SALAMONE				
	DY, NY 12301		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1724		
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_		MEZ		
Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
09/924,04	4	FRESHOUR ET AL.			
Office Action Summary Examiner		Art Unit			
Peter A. Hi	ruskoci	1724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the Period for Reply	cover sheet with the co	orrespondence addre	ss		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statu.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this come earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nt, however, may a reply be time tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cation to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this commi ) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 August 2001</u>	. •				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is	non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Que Disposition of Claims			nerits is		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-22</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from con	sideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-22</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election re	quirement.		į		
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Offi	ce action.				
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been	• •	<del></del>			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>application from the International Bureau (PCT F</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certification</li> </ul>	Rule 17.2(a)).		ge		
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional ap	olication).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional app 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority un					
Attachment(s)					
		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-15			

- 1. The preliminary amendments to the specification dated 8-7-01 have not been entered because they fail to comply with the revised procedures in 37 CFR 1.121.
- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "incinerator" recited in claim 21 and the "wastewater treatment plant" recited in claim 22 must be shown or the features canceled from the claims. No new matter should be entered.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spei et al. in view of Moller. Spei et al. disclose (see Figure 1) the structure of the system substantially as claimed. The claims differ from Spei et al. by reciting that the system includes a water tank for receiving emulsion-free water from the mixing tank. Moller disclose (see Fig. 1) that it is known in the art to utilize a water tank for storing water that is separated from an emulsion in a mixing and separating tank. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the system of Spei et al. by including the recited water tank in view of the teachings of Moller, to aid in storing the separated

Application/Control Number: 09/924,044

Art Unit: 1724

water. With regard to claim 22, it is noted that Spei et al. discloses the further treatment of the demulsified water or aqueous phase.

- 5. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spei et al. in view of Moller as above, and further in view of Brown. The claim differs from the references as applied above by reciting that the oil tank is in communication with an incinerator. Brown disclose (see col. 4 lines 15-61) that it is known in the art to utilize waste oil separated from an oil / water separator, and stored in a collection tank, as fuel for an incinerator. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the references as applied above by including the recited incinerator in view of the teachings of Brown, to aid in utilizing the separated oil as fuel.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter A. Hruskoci whose telephone number is (703) 308-3839. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. David Simmons, can be reached on (703) 308-1972. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310 (non-after finals) and 703-872-9311 after finals.

Application/Control Number: 09/924,044

Art Unit: 1724

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Peter A. Hruskoci Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

P. Hruskoci March 7, 2002